

Dislocations: geometric and analytic properties
(a joint work with N. Van Goethem)

Riccardo Scala

Lisboa
July 31, 2014

We want to solve the minimum problem

$$\min_{(F, \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{W}(F, \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{W}_e(F) + \mathcal{W}_{\text{defect}}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the space of admissible couples of deformations and dislocation currents.

We want to solve the minimum problem

$$\min_{(F, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{W}(F, \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{W}_e(F) + \mathcal{W}_{\text{defect}}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the space of admissible couples of deformations and dislocation currents. If $F_n \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ is a minimizing sequence, the policonvexity of \mathcal{W}_e requires

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(F_n) \rightharpoonup M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(F) \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega), \quad (2)$$

for all multiindices α, β such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| = 3$.

We want to solve the minimum problem

$$\min_{(F, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{W}(F, \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{W}_e(F) + \mathcal{W}_{\text{defect}}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the space of admissible couples of deformations and dislocation currents. If $F_n \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ is a minimizing sequence, the policonvexity of \mathcal{W}_e requires

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(F_n) \rightharpoonup M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(F) \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega), \quad (2)$$

for all multiindices α, β such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| = 3$.

To prove this we have to focus on the finer properties of the functions F_n , using the fact that

$$-\text{Curl } F_n = \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}_n}^T,$$

for a bounded sequence of densities $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}_n}$.

Consider a function $F \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ satisfying

$$- \operatorname{Curl} F = b \otimes \mathcal{L},$$

for some dislocation (closed integral 1-current) \mathcal{L} . Since the Burgers vector b belongs to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 and \mathcal{L} has integer multiplicity, whenever γ is a Lipschitz closed curve, the path integral of F along γ turns out to be an element of \mathbb{Z}^3 . We can then define GLOBALLY a deformation u whose gradient is F and whose value is univocally given up to an element of \mathbb{Z}^3 .

Consider a function $F \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ satisfying

$$- \operatorname{Curl} F = b \otimes \mathcal{L},$$

for some dislocation (closed integral 1-current) \mathcal{L} . Since the Burgers vector b belongs to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 and \mathcal{L} has integer multiplicity, whenever γ is a Lipschitz closed curve, the path integral of F along γ turns out to be an element of \mathbb{Z}^3 . We can then define GLOBALLY a deformation u whose gradient is F and whose value is univocally given up to an element of \mathbb{Z}^3 .

In other words it is well-defined

$$u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3),$$

\mathbb{T}^3 being the 3-dimensional torus $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$.

Consider a function $F \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ satisfying

$$- \operatorname{Curl} F = b \otimes \mathcal{L},$$

for some dislocation (closed integral 1-current) \mathcal{L} . Since the Burgers vector b belongs to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 and \mathcal{L} has integer multiplicity, whenever γ is a Lipschitz closed curve, the path integral of F along γ turns out to be an element of \mathbb{Z}^3 . We can then define GLOBALLY a deformation u whose gradient is F and whose value is univocally given up to an element of \mathbb{Z}^3 .

In other words it is well-defined

$$u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3),$$

\mathbb{T}^3 being the 3-dimensional torus $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$.

To prove convergence (2) we will use the fact that if $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ are such that their graphs \mathcal{G}_{u_n} satisfies $M(\partial \mathcal{G}_{u_n}) < C$ then there exists $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ with (up to a subsequence)

$$M_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\beta}(Du_n) \rightharpoonup M_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\beta}(Du) \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega),$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, |\alpha| + |\beta| = 3$.

We introduce the torus $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{R}/\sim$, where $a \sim b$ iff $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the standard projection $\pi_{\mathbb{T}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. Now we will consider graphs of maps $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$. These turn out to be n -rectifiable currents in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

We introduce the torus $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{R}/\sim$, where $a \sim b$ iff $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the standard projection $\pi_{\mathbb{T}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. Now we will consider graphs of maps $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$. These turn out to be n -rectifiable currents in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

We set

$$\mathcal{D}^n(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n) := \{\omega \in \mathcal{D}^n(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) : \omega \text{ is } 1\text{-periodic in the } 2\text{nd variable}\}.$$

If \mathcal{T} is a n -current in $\mathcal{D}_n(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ that has compact support in $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then it is well-defined the current $T(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{D}_n(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ defined as

$$T(\mathcal{T}) := T \llcorner_{\mathcal{D}^n(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n)}. \quad (3)$$

Moreover $M(T(\mathcal{T})) \leq M(\mathcal{T})$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then we define $T(u) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $T(u) := \pi_{\mathbb{T}}(u)$. It is easily seen that $T(\mathcal{G}_u)$ is a n -rectifiable current in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

We introduce the torus $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{R}/\sim$, where $a \sim b$ iff $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the standard projection $\pi_{\mathbb{T}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. Now we will consider graphs of maps $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$. These turn out to be n -rectifiable currents in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

We set

$$\mathcal{D}^n(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n) := \{\omega \in \mathcal{D}^n(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) : 1\text{-periodic in the 2nd variable}\}.$$

If \mathcal{T} is a n -current in $\mathcal{D}_n(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ that has compact support in $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then it is well-defined the current $T(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{D}_n(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n)$ defined as

$$T(\mathcal{T}) := T \llcorner \mathcal{D}^n(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n). \quad (3)$$

Moreover $M(T(\mathcal{T})) \leq M(\mathcal{T})$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then we define $T(u) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $T(u) := \pi_{\mathbb{T}}(u)$. It is easily seen that $T(\mathcal{G}_u)$ is a n -rectifiable current in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

Definition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^n) := \{ & u \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^n) : u \text{ is approx. diff. a.e. on } \Omega, \text{ and} \\ & M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(Du) \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ for all } |\alpha| + |\beta| = n \} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$u \in \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^n) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}_u$ is a n -rectifiable current in $\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

Lemma

Let C be a smooth closed and simple curve in Ω and let S be a smooth surface in Ω bounded by C with unit normal N . The solution (up to a harmonic map on \mathbb{R}^3) of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S \\ [u] := u^+ - u^- = b & \text{on } S \\ [\partial_N u] := \partial_N u^+ - \partial_N u^- = 0 & \text{on } S \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

is given by

$$u(x) = -b \int_S \partial_N \Gamma(x' - x) dS(x'), \quad (6)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S$, where Γ is the solution in \mathbb{R}^3 of $\Delta \Gamma = \delta_0$.

Lemma

Let C be a smooth closed and simple curve in Ω and let S be a smooth surface in Ω bounded by C with unit normal N . The solution (up to a harmonic map on \mathbb{R}^3) of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S \\ [u] := u^+ - u^- = b & \text{on } S \\ [\partial_N u] := \partial_N u^+ - \partial_N u^- = 0 & \text{on } S \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

is given by

$$u(x) = -b \int_S \partial_N \Gamma(x' - x) dS(x'), \quad (6)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S$, where Γ is the solution in \mathbb{R}^3 of $\Delta \Gamma = \delta_0$.

Moreover we can prove that there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ independent of C and Ω , such that the solution u of (5) satisfies

$$|\partial_i u(x)| \leq \gamma \frac{b}{d(x, C)}. \quad (7)$$

As a consequence of Lemma 5:

Lemma

Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the solution u of (5) belongs to $C^\infty(\Omega \setminus C, \mathbb{T})$ and it is harmonic in $\Omega \setminus C$.

Preliminary results

As a consequence of Lemma 5:

Lemma

Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the solution u of (5) belongs to $C^\infty(\Omega \setminus C, \mathbb{T})$ and it is harmonic in $\Omega \setminus C$.

We consider a tubular neighborhood U of the curve C where we can use cylindrical coordinates and S coinciding with $\theta = 0$. We need this result of approximation:

Lemma

Let C and S as above and let u be the solution of the elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S \\ u^+ - u^- = 1 & \text{on } S \\ \partial_N^+ u - \partial_N^- u = 0 & \text{on } S. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Then for all $(\rho, \theta, z) \in U$ with $\theta \neq 0$ there exists the limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} u(\epsilon\rho, \theta, z) = \theta + c$, where c is a fixed arbitrary constant.

We denote by $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\}$ the basis of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem

Let $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and let $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with u_i satisfying the equation (5) with $b = b_i$. Then \mathcal{G}_u is the integral current in $\mathcal{D}_3(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^3)$ and its boundary is given by

$$\partial \mathcal{G}_u(\omega) = -4\pi \mathcal{L} \otimes b(\varphi^\omega \circ \Phi), \quad (9)$$

for all $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^3)$, where $\Phi := Id \times u$ and φ^ω is defined componentwise as

$$\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^\omega := \langle \omega, e_\alpha \wedge \varepsilon_\beta \rangle. \quad (10)$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

In words, φ^ω represents the tensor of the coefficients of the mixed components of ω .

Computation of the boundary

We proceed by approximation. We set $\Omega_\epsilon := \Omega \setminus \bar{D}_\epsilon$, $u_\epsilon := u|_{\Omega_\epsilon}$, where $D_\epsilon := \{(\rho, \theta, z) \in U : \rho < \epsilon\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}_{u_\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_u$ as current, so that we have

$$\partial \mathcal{G}_{u_\epsilon} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{G}_u.$$

Computation of the boundary

We proceed by approximation. We set $\Omega_\epsilon := \Omega \setminus \bar{D}_\epsilon$, $u_\epsilon := u|_{\Omega_\epsilon}$, where $D_\epsilon := \{(\rho, \theta, z) \in U : \rho < \epsilon\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}_{u_\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_u$ as current, so that we have

$$\partial \mathcal{G}_{u_\epsilon} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{G}_u.$$

u_ϵ is smooth in Ω_ϵ (Lemma 3) so we apply the Stokes theorem to compute the boundary of \mathcal{G}_{u_ϵ} . For all 2-forms ω we have

$$\partial \mathcal{G}_{u_\epsilon}(\omega) = \int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \langle \omega \circ \Phi, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} \wedge \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_3} \rangle dx = \int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \sigma_{ij} \omega_{ij}(x, u(x)) M_j^i(D(\Phi|_{\partial D_\epsilon}(x))) dx,$$

where (τ, x_3) is an orthogonal coordinate system in the tangent space to $\partial D_\epsilon = \partial \Omega_\epsilon$.

Computation of the boundary

We proceed by approximation. We set $\Omega_\epsilon := \Omega \setminus \bar{D}_\epsilon$, $u_\epsilon := u|_{\Omega_\epsilon}$, where $D_\epsilon := \{(\rho, \theta, z) \in U : \rho < \epsilon\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}_{u_\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_u$ as current, so that we have

$$\partial \mathcal{G}_{u_\epsilon} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{G}_u.$$

u_ϵ is smooth in Ω_ϵ (Lemma 3) so we apply the Stokes theorem to compute the boundary of \mathcal{G}_{u_ϵ} . For all 2-forms ω we have

$$\partial \mathcal{G}_{u_\epsilon}(\omega) = \int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \langle \omega \circ \Phi, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} \wedge \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_3} \rangle dx = \int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \sigma_{ij} \omega_{ij}(x, u(x)) M_j^i(D(\Phi|_{\partial D_\epsilon}(x))) dx,$$

where (τ, x_3) is an orthogonal coordinate system in the tangent space to $\partial D_\epsilon = \partial \Omega_\epsilon$. Explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \omega_{23}(x, u(x)) dx + \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^l \epsilon (\tilde{\omega}_{2k}(\epsilon, \theta, x_3, u(\epsilon, \theta, x_3))) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_3}(\epsilon, \theta, x_3) dx_3 d\theta \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^l \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon \tilde{\omega}_{3k}(\epsilon, \theta, x_3, u(\epsilon, \theta, x_3)) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial \tau}(\epsilon, \theta, x_3) d\theta dx_3. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Computation of the boundary

Integrations by parts and preceding lemmas provide

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon \int_0^l \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{2k}}{\partial x_3}(\epsilon, \theta, x_3, u(\epsilon, \theta, x_3)) \right) u_k(\epsilon, \theta, x_3) dx_3 d\theta = \\ & \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon \int_0^l \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{2k}}{\partial x_3}(\epsilon, \theta, x_3, u(\epsilon, \theta, x_3)) \right) (\theta + o(1)) dx_3 d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, so that its absolute value can be estimated by $\| \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{2k}}{\partial x_3} \|_{\infty} o(\epsilon)$, and thus also this term vanishes.

Computation of the boundary

Integrations by parts and preceding lemmas provide

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon \int_0^l \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{2k}}{\partial x_3}(\epsilon, \theta, x_3, u(\epsilon, \theta, x_3)) \right) u_k(\epsilon, \theta, x_3) dx_3 d\theta = \\ & \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon \int_0^l \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{2k}}{\partial x_3}(\epsilon, \theta, x_3, u(\epsilon, \theta, x_3)) \right) (\theta + o(1)) dx_3 d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, so that its absolute value can be estimated by $\|\frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{2k}}{\partial x_3}\|_{\infty} o(\epsilon)$, and thus also this term vanishes.

As for the third term

$$\begin{aligned} & = - \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^l \tilde{\omega}_{3k}(0, \theta, x_3, b_1\theta, b_2\theta, b_3\theta) u_k(\epsilon, \theta, x_3) \Big|_0^{\theta=2\pi} dx_3 \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^l \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{d\theta} \tilde{\omega}_{3k}(0, \theta, x_3, b_1\theta, b_2\theta, b_3\theta) u_k(\epsilon, \theta, x_3) d\theta dx_3 + R(\epsilon). \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

with $R(\epsilon) = o(1)$.

We then pass to the limit

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{k=1}^3 \left(2\pi b_k \omega_{3k}(0, 0, x_3, 0, 0, 0) + \int_0^l \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{d\theta} \tilde{\omega}_{3k}(0, 0, x_3, b_1\theta, b_2\theta, b_3\theta) b_k \theta d\theta dx_3 \right) \\
 & = -4\pi \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k \int_0^l \omega_{3k}(0, 0, x_3, b_1\theta, b_2\theta, b_3\theta) dx_3 \\
 & = -4\pi \int_C (\tau(x) \otimes b) \cdot \varphi^\omega(x, u(x)) d\mathcal{H}^1(x) = -4\pi \mathcal{L} \otimes b(\varphi^\omega \circ \Phi),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed.

We then pass to the limit

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{k=1}^3 \left(2\pi b_k \omega_{3k}(0, 0, x_3, 0, 0, 0) + \int_0^l \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{d\theta} \tilde{\omega}_{3k}(0, 0, x_3, b_1\theta, b_2\theta, b_3\theta) b_k \theta d\theta dx_3 \right) \\
 & = -4\pi \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k \int_0^l \omega_{3k}(0, 0, x_3, b_1\theta, b_2\theta, b_3\theta) dx_3 \\
 & = -4\pi \int_C (\tau(x) \otimes b) \cdot \varphi^\omega(x, u(x)) d\mathcal{H}^1(x) = -4\pi \mathcal{L} \otimes b(\varphi^\omega \circ \Phi),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed.

Moreover Theorem 5 can be generalized to an arbitrary closed integral 1-current \mathcal{L} , and it also holds

Lemma

Let S , C , b and u as in Theorem 5, and let $v \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then \mathcal{G}_{u+v} is an integral current in $\mathcal{D}_3(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^3)$ and it holds

$$M(\partial \mathcal{G}_{u+v}) \leq (1 + 24\sqrt{3}\pi \|Dv\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) |\mathcal{L} \otimes b|(\Omega). \quad (13)$$

The minimum problem

Let us go back to the minimum problem

$$\min_{(F, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{W}(F, \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad (14)$$

where the energy \mathcal{W} satisfies some appropriate convexity and coerciveness conditions (Van Goethem seminar), while \mathcal{A} is the space of admissible couples of deformations and dislocation currents.

The minimum problem

Let us go back to the minimum problem

$$\min_{(F, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{W}(F, \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad (14)$$

where the energy \mathcal{W} satisfies some appropriate convexity and coerciveness conditions (Van Goethem seminar), while \mathcal{A} is the space of admissible couples of deformations and dislocation currents.

For the minimizer we want to be satisfied the equilibrium condition:

$$-\text{Div } \mathbb{C}\mathbb{E} = f \quad (15)$$

where f is an external force acting on the elastic body, $\mathbb{E} := \frac{1}{2}(F^T F - \text{Id})$ is the Green

St. Venant strain, and $\mathbb{C} := \frac{\partial^2 W_e}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}}$ its associate elasticity tensor. Note that

$$f_i = -\frac{\partial^2 W_e}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}} \partial_j F_{kl} \text{ and hence } f \otimes \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{C} \text{Div } F.$$

The minimum problem

Let us go back to the minimum problem

$$\min_{(F, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{W}(F, \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}), \quad (14)$$

where the energy \mathcal{W} satisfies some appropriate convexity and coerciveness conditions (Van Goethem seminar), while \mathcal{A} is the space of admissible couples of deformations and dislocation currents.

For the minimizer we want to be satisfied the equilibrium condition:

$$- \operatorname{Div} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E} = f \quad (15)$$

where f is an external force acting on the elastic body, $\mathbb{E} := \frac{1}{2}(F^T F - \operatorname{Id})$ is the Green

St. Venant strain, and $\mathbb{C} := \frac{\partial^2 W_e}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}}$ its associate elasticity tensor. Note that

$$f_i = - \frac{\partial^2 W_e}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}} \partial_j F_{kl} \text{ and hence } f \otimes \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{C} \operatorname{Div} F.$$

We assume that W_e is smooth enough and that the material has finite compliance, that is, \mathbb{C}^{-1} is finite. Moreover, for simplicity of discussion (but not of the model) we will assume $\mathbb{C}_{ijkl} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$. Hence it holds $f_i \delta_{jl} = \delta_{ij} (\operatorname{Div} F)_k$, that is ,

$$f_i = (\operatorname{Div} F)_i.$$

So the class of admissible deformations is defined as follows. Let $f \in L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $b \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ a fixed Burgers vector, then

$$\mathcal{F}^b(f) := \{F \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}) : -\operatorname{Div} F = f \text{ and } -\operatorname{Curl} F = b \otimes \mathcal{L} \\ \text{for some closed integral 1-current } \mathcal{L}\}. \quad (16)$$

Existence result

So the class of admissible deformations is defined as follows. Let $f \in L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $b \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ a fixed Burgers vector, then

$$\mathcal{F}^b(f) := \{F \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}) : -\operatorname{Div} F = f \text{ and } -\operatorname{Curl} F = b \otimes \mathcal{L} \\ \text{for some closed integral 1-current } \mathcal{L}\}. \quad (16)$$

The existence of a minimizer of \mathcal{W} in $\mathcal{F}^b(f)$ is provided by the following:

Theorem

Let $p > 1$ and $f \in L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $q > 3$. If \mathcal{W} satisfies (...), then there exists a minimizer $F \in \mathcal{F}^b(f)$ of \mathcal{W} .

Existence result

So the class of admissible deformations is defined as follows. Let $f \in L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $b \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ a fixed Burgers vector, then

$$\mathcal{F}^b(f) := \{F \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}) : -\operatorname{Div} F = f \text{ and } -\operatorname{Curl} F = b \otimes \mathcal{L} \\ \text{for some closed integral 1-current } \mathcal{L}\}. \quad (16)$$

The existence of a minimizer of \mathcal{W} in $\mathcal{F}^b(f)$ is provided by the following:

Theorem

Let $p > 1$ and $f \in L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $q > 3$. If \mathcal{W} satisfies (...), then there exists a minimizer $F \in \mathcal{F}^b(f)$ of \mathcal{W} .

A consequence of Helmholtz decomposition implies that, if $F \in \mathcal{F}^b(f)$, then F can be written as

$$F = Dv + Du + Dh,$$

where $v \in W_0^{2,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\Delta v = f$, $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ is the function in Theorem 5, and $h \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is an harmonic map on Ω with some boundary conditions. The Sobolev embeddings Theorem provides $v \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Sketch of the proof

To prove the existence result, we can use such decomposition for all the terms of a minimizing sequence $F_n = Dv_n + Du_n + Dh_n$. The coerciveness of the energy \mathcal{W}_e gives a-priori bounds on the L^p norms of all the minors of Dv_n , Du_n , and Dh_n , while the coerciveness of $\mathcal{W}_{\text{defect}}$ gives an a-priori bound on the mass of the densities $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}_n}$. In particular there exist $F \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$, $A \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$, and $D \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$F_k \rightharpoonup F \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \quad (17a)$$

$$\text{adj} F_k \rightharpoonup A \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \quad (17b)$$

$$\det F_k \rightharpoonup D \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega). \quad (17c)$$

and a measure $\Lambda \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ with

$$\Lambda_k \rightharpoonup \Lambda \quad \text{weakly* in } \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \quad (18)$$

where we have set $\Lambda_k = \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}_k} = -\text{Curl } F_k$

Sketch of the proof

To prove the existence result, we can use such decomposition for all the terms of a minimizing sequence $F_n = Dv_n + Du_n + Dh_n$. The coerciveness of the energy \mathcal{W}_e gives a-priori bounds on the L^p norms of all the minors of Dv_n , Du_n , and Dh_n , while the coerciveness of $\mathcal{W}_{\text{defect}}$ gives an a-priori bound on the mass of the densities $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}_n}$. In particular there exist $F \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$, $A \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$, and $D \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$F_k \rightharpoonup F \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \quad (17a)$$

$$\text{adj} F_k \rightharpoonup A \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \quad (17b)$$

$$\det F_k \rightharpoonup D \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega). \quad (17c)$$

and a measure $\Lambda \in \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ with

$$\Lambda_k \rightharpoonup \Lambda \quad \text{weakly* in } \mathcal{M}_b(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \quad (18)$$

where we have set $\Lambda_k = \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}_k} = -\text{Curl } F_k$

Theorem 5 and Lemma 6 provide

$$M(\partial \mathcal{G}_{v_n+u_n+h_n}) < C,$$

thanks to the regularity of v_n and h_n .

Then we can employ

Theorem

Let u_k be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}_p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ and suppose that there exist functions $v_\beta^\alpha \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that $M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(Du_k) \rightarrow v_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ for all multi-indices α and β with $|\alpha| + |\beta| = 3$. If

$$M(\partial\mathcal{G}_{u_k}) < C < +\infty \quad (19)$$

for all $k > 0$, then $u \in \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ and $v_{\alpha}^{\beta} = M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(Du)$.

to prove that $A = \text{adj}F$, $D = \det F$.

Then we can employ

Theorem

Let u_k be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}_p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ and suppose that there exist functions $v_\beta^\alpha \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that $M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(Du_k) \rightarrow v_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ for all multi-indices α and β with $|\alpha| + |\beta| = 3$. If

$$M(\partial\mathcal{G}_{u_k}) < C < +\infty \quad (19)$$

for all $k > 0$, then $u \in \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega, \mathbb{T}^3)$ and $v_{\alpha}^{\beta} = M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(Du)$.

to prove that $A = \text{adj}F$, $D = \det F$.

The fact that there exists a closed integral 1-current \mathcal{L} such that $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}} = -\text{Curl } F$ is an easy consequence of the compactness theorem for integral currents and of convergence (18). The conclusion then follows by lower semicontinuity.

- We need the regularity condition of f . In general if u is as in Theorem 5 and v is another function, it is difficult to obtain estimates for the boundary of \mathcal{G}_{u+v} better than (13).

- We need the regularity condition of f . In general if u is as in Theorem 5 and v is another function, it is difficult to obtain estimates for the boundary of \mathcal{G}_{u+v} better than (13).
- We have proved the result for a density Λ of the form $\mathcal{L} \otimes b$. In particular all the Burgers vector of the cluster are integer multiple of b . What happens to a cluster with linearly independent Burgers vectors?

- We need the regularity condition of f . In general if u is as in Theorem 5 and v is another function, it is difficult to obtain estimates for the boundary of \mathcal{G}_{u+v} better than (13).
- We have proved the result for a density Λ of the form $\mathcal{L} \otimes b$. In particular all the Burgers vector of the cluster are integer multiple of b . What happens to a cluster with linearly independent Burgers vectors?

Thank you for attention!